UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,)	
Plaintiff,)	Civil Action No. 05-10990-DPW
v.)	
HARMAN INTERNATIONAL INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED,)	
Defendant.)	

JOINT STATEMENT

Plaintiff, Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT") and Defendant, Harman International Industries, Inc. ("Harman"), submit this joint statement in accordance with Local Rule 16.1(D), and the Court's September 19, 2005 request, in advance of the Scheduling Conference before the Court on October 20, 2005, at 2:30 p.m. Counsel for the parties conferred on October 10, 2005, and thereafter.

I. Proposed Agenda for Scheduling Conference

MIT and Harman agree on the following agenda items:

- A. This Court's ruling on Harman's Motion to Dismiss, in light of the Chicago Court's dismissal of Harman's suit in the Northern District of Illinois (notice of which was filed with the Court on August 18, 2005 as Docket Entry No. 25)
- B. Further scheduling of case management deadlines, beyond those already set by Court in October 10, 2005 Order

II. Joint Proposed Schedule For Completion Of Case Schedule Through Trial

Pursuant to Rule 26(f) and Local Rules 16.1(d)(1) and (2), the parties' proposed discovery and motion schedule is listed in the table below, for those dates not already set by the Court in its October 10, 2005 Scheduling Order. The only date upon which the parties have not agreed is the timing of *Markman* briefing and, if necessary, a hearing. The parties understand from the last status conference that the Court will revisit setting this *Markman* Hearing at a later date.

<u>Discovery/Motion</u>	Joint Proposed Deadline
Identification of Opening Experts for Issues on which party bears the Burden of Proof	March 17, 2006
Identification of Rebuttal Experts	April 14, 2006
Close of Fact Discovery	April 21, 2006
Opening Expert Reports for Issues on which party bears the Burden of Proof	May 19, 2006
Rebuttal Expert Reports and Start of Expert Depositions	June 16, 2006
Close of Expert Discovery	July 14, 2006
Markman Hearing, If The Court Deems One Necessary	June 2006 (MIT's Proposal)

Dispositive Metions and	August 25, 2006
Dispositive Motions and Daubert Motions	August 23, 2000
2 0000 017 1120010115	
Oppositions to Dispositive	September 15, 2006
Motions and Daubert Motions	
Exchange exhibit list, witness	October 6, 2006
list, uncontested	
facts, proposed voir dire	
	0 1 0 0 0 0
Exchange and file any Pre-	October 27, 2006
trial Memorandum,	
Motions in Limine, and	
proposed Jury	
Instructions	
Final Pre-Trial Conference	November 2006
Trial	At the Court's Convenience

Dated: October 14, 2005

Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

By its attorneys,

/s/ Steven M. Bauer

Steven M. Bauer, BBO #542531 Kimberly A. Mottley, BBO #651190 PROSKAUER ROSE LLP One International Place Boston, MA 02110 (617) 526-9600 Respectfully submitted,

Harman International Industries, Inc.,

By its attorneys,

/s/ Robert J. Muldoon

Robert J. Muldoon, BBO #359480 James W. Matthews, BBO #560560 Edward S. Cheng, BBO #634063 SHERIN AND LODGEN LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 (617) 646-2000

William A. Streff Jr., P.C. Michelle A. H. Francis Jamal M. Edwards KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 861-2000 (phone) (312) 861-2200 (fax) Of Counsel